PUBLISHER/EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Richard T. O'Grady

EDITOR/PUBLICATIONS MANAGER Herman Marshall

> SENIOR EDITOR Donna Daniels Verdier

SCIENCE EDITOR Matthew Greenstone MANUSCRIPT EDITOR

Donna Royston PUBLICATIONS ASSISTANT Sevon Johnson

Editors: Features Editor: Cathy Lundmark; Washington Watch Editor: Ellen Paul; Book Review Editor: Paula Mabee.

Editorial Board: Agriculture: Sue Tolin; Animal Behavior: Janice Moore; Botany: Lucinda A. Mc-Dade; Cell Biology: Randy Wayne; Computers and Biology: Robert V. Blystone; Developmental Biology: Robert Auerbach; Ecology: Scott Collins, Daniel Simberloff; Ecotoxicology: Valery Forbes; Education: Gordon E. Uno; Environmental Policy: J. Michael Scott; Genetics and Evolution: Martin Tracey; History and Philosophy: Laurence J. Dorr, Sahotra Sarkar, Kristin Shrader- Frechette; Invertebrate Biology: Kirk Fitzhugh; Landscape Ecology: Monica Turner; Microbiology: Ronald M. Atlas; Molecular Biology: David Hillis; Neurobiology: Cole Gilbert; Population Biology: Ben Pierce; Professional Biologist: Jean Wyld; Statistics: Mark Payton; Textbooks: John Jungck; Vertebrate Biology: Harry W. Greene.

Editorial Correspondence: 1444 I Street, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005; 202/628-1500; Fax: 202/628-1509; e-mail: *bioscience@aibs.org*. Instructions for preparing a manuscript for *BioScience* can be found at the back of this issue and at www.aibs.org/bioscienceguide/resources/ contributors.html.

Advertising: Kerrie Brooks, Network Publications, 10155 York Rd., Suite 205, Crestridge Corporate Center, Hunt Valley, MD 21030; 410/628-5772; Fax: 410/628-0398; e-mail: *bioscience@* networkpub.com.

BioScience (ISSN 0006-3568) is published monthly by the American Institute of Biological Sciences. Individual membership: Sustaining, \$90.00/yr; individual, \$70.00/yr; family, \$90.00/yr (includes \$36.00 for BioScience); emeritus, \$50.00/yr; K-12 teacher/ administrator, \$45.00/yr (includes \$22.00 for BioScience); graduate and postdoctoral students, \$40.00/yr (includes \$21.00 for BioScience); undergraduate and K-12 students, \$20.00/yr (includes \$15.00 for BioScience). Institutional subscriptions: Domestic, \$242.00/yr; foreign, \$288.00/yr. Single copies: \$14.00 plus shipping and handling for up to 50 copies; volume discounts available for more than 50 (call 703/790-1745, ext. 23). Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label. © 2001 American Institute of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved. Periodical postage paid at Washington, DC, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to BioScience Circulation, AIBS, 1313 Dolley Madison Blvd., Suite 402, McLean, VA 22101. Printed in USA. AIBS authorizes photocopying for internal or personal use provided the appropriate fee is paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; phone: 978/ 750-8400; fax: 978/750-4744; Web site: www.copyright.com. To photocopy articles for classroom use, request authorization, subject to conditions thereof, from the Academic Permissions Service at CCC. Each copy must say "© 20_ by the American Institute of Biological Sciences." Statements and opinions expressed in BioScience are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official positions of the American Institute of Biological Sciences, the editors, the publisher, or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated. The editors, publisher, and AIBS disclaim any responsibility or liability for such material.



Pfiesteria and the Chesapeake Bay

The restoration of the Chesapeake Bay is one of the world's most ambitious efforts to rehabilitate and manage a large ecosystem. Alarm over deteriorating environmental quality and declining resources during the 1970s led to identification of nutrient overenrichment (eutrophication) and overexploitation of fisheries as the estuary's most pervasive problems. In 1983 the states of Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia; the District of Columbia; and the federal government signed an agreement to arrest this deterioration and restore the bay. In 1987, these regional partners made a landmark commitment to reduce the controllable sources of nitrogen and phosphorus entering the bay by 40 percent by the year 2000. Many hundreds of millions of dollars have since been spent for treatment of sewage, subsidies, and other techniques aimed at improving agricultural management practices and restoring wetland and riparian habitats.

In 1997, as agencies, treatment plant operators, farmers, scientists, and citizens worked to meet the goal for 2000, the unexpected happened. Reports began to stream in about fish kills, fish with lesions, and health complaints from fishers and others in contact with the waters of the Pocomoke River and other tidal tributaries along the eastern shore of the Chesapeake. Concern soon focused on the possibility of toxic outbreaks of *Pfiesteria piscicida*, a dinoflagellate that had grabbed headlines in North Carolina earlier in the 1990s. *Pfiesteria* capable of killing fish had been reported from the Chesapeake a few years earlier, but had so far been blamed for large fish kills and harmful effects on human health only in North Carolina. The size of the incidents in the bay area was small, but because of the large public, political, and scientific commitment to the Chesapeake restoration, the impact on public policy was great.

Fortunately, because of the close working relationships among scientists and environmental managers developed through the Chesapeake Bay Program, the scientific advisory committees formed in response to the *Pfiesteria* threat were able to coordinate efforts and give valuable advice to political leaders. Medical researchers documented impairment of short-term memory in a few humans exposed to the putative *Pfiesteria* outbreaks. Scientists worked with the federal agencies and regional congressional delegation to develop new, competitive support for research on *Pfiesteria*. As a result, research is advancing along a broad front from molecular biology to ecology to epidemiology to agronomy. Unknowns and controversies remain, but scientists have developed new identification tools for *P. piscicida* and related organisms and significantly advanced understanding of the ecology of the dinoflagellate and its mode of toxic action.

Both in North Carolina and in the Chesapeake, high densities of *Pfiesteria* are found in shallow estuarine environments highly enriched by nutrients. The *Pfiesteria* incident brought to light the fact that, despite significant efforts to implement best management practices, nutrient inputs from the heavily agricultural watersheds of the Chesapeake's eastern shore had not declined. In particular, rapid growth of the poultry industry on the Delmarva Peninsula resulted in an overconcentration of poultry wastes. Inputs of nitrogen from surface and groundwater were high, and soil phosphorus concentrations had built up far beyond crop requirements. Hence in 1998 Maryland enacted the first law in the country to make agricultural nutrient management mandatory; Virginia and Delaware followed with their own statutes.

Pfiesteria and the realization that degraded water quality could endanger human health clearly raised the stakes in the struggle to restore the Chesapeake. Partners in the Chesapeake Bay Program—governments, scientists, managers, and concerned citizens—are working hard to meet the ante.

DONALD F. BOESCH President University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science Cambridge, MD 21613

(Editor's note: A special section of this issue of BioScience, beginning on page 827, is devoted to articles on Pfiesteria.)